



Land Rights and Legislation: Uncovering the Veil of Property Law in Indonesia

Basri

Universitas Borneo Tarakan, Indonesia

Correspondent: basriibt@gmail.com

Received : December 3, 2023

Accepted : January 14, 2024

Published : January 31, 2024

Citation: Basri. (2024). Land Rights and Legislation: Uncovering the Veil of Property Law in Indonesia. *Ilomata International Journal of Social Science*, 5(1), 105-123. <https://doi.org/10.52728/ijss.v5i1.1060>

ABSTRACT: This study examines the complex terrain of property rights in Indonesia, revealing gaps, historical trends, legislative impact, and theoretical insights. The method used is qualitative. Legal writings (constitutions, statutes, commentaries), court decisions, policy papers, and historical records relating to property and land rights law in Indonesia were considered as the primary research sources. Data were analysed using triangulation and N-Vivo. The results show that modern property law is the result of historical developments characterised by post-independence changes and colonial legacies. A careful balance is required to address the challenges posed by the encroachment of economic interests on legislative provisions intended to protect people's rights. The results show that modern property law is the result of historical developments characterized by post-independence changes and colonial legacies. A careful balance is required to address the challenges posed by the encroachment of economic interests on legislative provisions intended to protect people's rights. The weaknesses and contradictions found in the legislative framework highlight the need for flexible solutions to meet the changing demands of society. The intersection between Islamic law, state law and customary law is best understood through the lens of legal pluralism theory. The consequences for marginalized groups highlight the urgency to prioritize their rights, which requires community engagement and participatory legal reform. The theoretical implications of legal pluralism support it as an analytical instrument, and the comparative analysis places Indonesia in a global context and offers suggestions for useful policy responses. The study concludes by recommending a flexible legal framework, international cooperation, and further investigation to support a more inclusive and egalitarian understanding of land rights in Indonesia.

Keywords: Land Rights, Legislation, Uncovering, Veil, Property Law



This is an open access article under the
CC-BY 4.0 license

INTRODUCTION

Indonesia's laws and land rights have significantly influenced the nation's socioeconomic structure. A patchwork of land tenure systems and intricate property laws have developed as a result of the archipelago's varied ecosystems and cultural environments. These land rights concerns are becoming more and more significant, affecting people individually, in communities, and for the development of the country as a whole ([Sa'adiyyah, 2023](#); [Wisesa & Salam, 2023](#)). Indonesia has a wealth of natural resources, including land, which has presented both opportunities and difficulties for development. But overcoming the resource curse and accomplishing development transformation have proven challenging for the governance of extractive resources, like oil ([Thontowi et al., 2023](#)). Concerns regarding the welfare of the Indonesian state and human rights have also been highlighted by the execution of land acquisition policies for development reasons ([Cahyono et al., 2023](#); [Yudha, 2023](#)). In summary, the historical and contemporary context of land rights in Indonesia underscores the necessity of efficient governance, equitable allocation of power, and cooperation among the government, civil society, and local administrations to guarantee sustainable growth and equitable socioeconomic outcomes.

The legal system in Indonesia has been greatly influenced by the country's history of government transitions, from Dutch colonial control to post-independence nation-building, especially with regard to property law and land ownership ([Hubbansyah et al., 2023](#)). Understanding land rights has become more difficult as Indonesia enters the twenty-first century due to the interaction of globalization, government regulations, and traditional customs ([Wisesa & Salam, 2023](#)). To protect the welfare of the Indonesian state, a new policy on autonomous regions has been put into place; nevertheless, obstacles like poor funding and low-quality human resources make this process difficult ([Hill & Pasaribu, 2022](#)). Furthermore, Indonesia's resource-rich status and significant regime transitions have impacted the country's economic performance and boom-bust episode management ([Thontowi et al., 2023](#)). With the advent of sharia law as a general rule, social variables such as customs, norms, culture, and religion have also had an impact on the development of Indonesia's legal system ([Gates, 2009](#)). The intricate dynamics pertaining to land rights in Indonesia are influenced by these variables.

The difficulties that various stakeholders in Indonesia encounter make it imperative to review land rights and laws. Conflicting legal frameworks, encroaching commercial interests, and insecure land tenure are problems faced by urban dwellers, smallholders, and indigenous peoples ([Suartining & Djaja, 2023](#)). Deciphering the complexities of property law is essential for legal experts as well as activists, policy makers, and communities battling for fair access to and control over land resources ([Masum & Prihatinah, 2023](#)). Indonesia's land law system upholds the idea that land must serve a social purpose while being grounded in the nation's lofty values of justice, prosperity, and unity ([Pamungkas & Mursadin, 2023](#)). However, ineffective property rights registration, rising levels of official corruption, a lack of due process, and hazy decentralization plans are currently impeding Indonesia's ability to implement land policy ([Watumlawar & Gaol, 2023](#)). These elements impede fair access to land resources and fuel land disputes ([Budiarto, 2009](#)). To solve these issues and guarantee fair and sustainable land management in Indonesia, a thorough analysis of land rights and laws is thus required.

The urgent issues that people and communities around Indonesia are facing highlight how urgent it is to look into land rights and laws in that nation. Indonesia finds itself at a crossroads where traditional land tenure arrangements meet the forces of urbanization, globalization, and changing agricultural techniques. Many groups, particularly indigenous peoples and smallholders, struggle with challenges such as uncertainty over land title and the possibility of land grabbing brought on by murky legal frameworks ([Masum & Prihatinah, 2023](#)). Land rights implementation is hampered by the complicated legal environment brought about by historical Dutch colonial law, post-independence reforms, and modern policy, which causes uncertainty ([Wisesa & Salam, 2023](#)). Fast economic growth and rising land prices can result in the uprooting of local people and conflicts between customs and contemporary legal frameworks ([Syahril & Redi, 2023](#)). Due to unequal access to legal resources and ignorance of land rights, marginalized people find it difficult to traverse the legal system and make their justifiable claims ([Sa'adiyyah, 2023](#)).

The effective protection of land rights for individuals and groups is hampered by Indonesia's property law's ambiguity and complexity. The land tenure system is impacted by laws and regulations from the colonial past, which raises concerns regarding the equity and validity of land rights ([Masum & Prihatinah, 2023](#)). Even while there are progressive legislation, it is nevertheless difficult to translate them into practical processes on the ground, which leaves communities open to exploitation and eviction ([Darmayanti et al., 2023](#)). The intricacy of property rights is increased by the junction of Islamic law, state law, and customary law, necessitating a thorough understanding of how these systems work together and may even cause conflict ([Sa'adiyyah, 2023](#)). The majority of those with insufficient legal protection are marginalized urban dwellers, small farmers, and indigenous communities, who run the risk of being evicted from their homes, becoming impoverished, and becoming social outcasts ([Prasetyo, 2023](#)).

In order to lift the curtain on the complexity of property law, this study sets out on a thorough investigation of land rights and legal framework in Indonesia. The primary goals are: 1) Examining the Evolution of Property Law: This section traces the evolution of property law in Indonesia through the lens of post-independence reforms, colonial legacies, and modern advancements. 2) Comprehending the Effects of Law: Examine how various groups are affected by the laws that are now in place, taking into account things like access to justice, security of tenancy, and the socioeconomic effects of property laws. 3) Finding Gaps and Inconsistencies: To find inconsistencies, contradictions, or deficiencies in the current legal framework controlling land rights, methodically review court rulings, policy texts, and legal documents.

Historical Evolution of Land Rights in Indonesia

The Dutch colonial legacy has informed Indonesia's historical history of property law and the country's contemporary land ownership structure. Indonesian property law is based on the legal frameworks put in place throughout this time ([Masum & Prihatinah, 2023](#)). In Indonesia, land rights are governed by the Basic Agrarian Law (UUPA), which was approved in 1960 ([Suartining & Djaja, 2023](#)). The UUPA categorizes land rights, including the granting of rights for plantation and mining enterprises, and acknowledges the concept of horizontal separation ([Fatoni et al., 2023](#)). However, conflicts frequently result from conflicting land rights as well as from disregard for the law, court orders, and good governance guidelines ([Halim, 2023](#)). Although land

registration is necessary, customary law can nonetheless provide protection for unregistered land ([Alindra, 2020](#)). The transfer of property rights is a complicated topic. The foundation of Indonesia's land law system is kinship, fairness, prosperity, and togetherness, with land serving a social purpose for the good of the larger community.

Post-Independence Reform

Significant legislative changes were made in Indonesia after the country's independence with the goal of resolving past injustices and advancing social equality. A significant legislative effort, the Agrarian Policy Act of 1960 sought to empower underprivileged populations and alter land ownership patterns. Nonetheless, there are differences in this reform's ability to accomplish its objectives. There have been ongoing disputes over land ownership and cultivation, according to some community organizations and academics, because Indonesia's post-reform presidents have not taken agrarian reform seriously ([Yanto, 2022](#)). A more open sociopolitical climate was also produced by the reform era's push for greater democracy and regional autonomy, but it also brought up concerns about the state's role in the economy and the effects of market reforms ([Purnomo & Suryono, 2022](#)). In development planning and government administration, there was a need for more state direction and responsibility following the repeal of the State Policy Guidelines (GBHN) following the 1945 Constitutional amendment ([Humaidi & Rahmadanti, 2023](#)). Furthermore, at this time, decentralization laws were passed, which gave the regions substantial fiscal and political power but also presented new difficulties in relation to rights to resource extraction and property claims ([Gates, 2009](#)). All things considered, these changes have affected Indonesia's many socioeconomic groups in a complicated and varied way, with differing degrees of success and difficulty in attaining social equality and righting historical wrongs.

Contemporary Issues in Land Tenure

Land tenure in Indonesia is currently facing a number of challenges, such as the effects of globalization and the intrusion of economic interests. The relationship between economic development and land rights is illuminated by research undertaken by Lee and Hamler, which examines the dynamics of land use change, deforestation, and the commodification of natural resources. These findings highlight the need for a more thorough comprehension of the socioeconomic factors influencing property law ([Lee, 2022](#); [Pamungkas & Mursadin, 2023](#)). Furthermore, Pinuji et al. looked at the legal ambiguity surrounding land that is permanently flooded, emphasizing the necessity for responsive and responsible land tenure laws to solve this issue ([Pinuji et al., 2023](#)). The study also showed that imprecise decentralization plans, state corruption, ineffective land policy implementation, insufficient property rights registration, and a lack of due process have all contributed to land conflicts in Indonesia ([Hamler & Mirwati, 2022](#)). When considered collectively, these results highlight how critical it is to deal with these issues in order to guarantee equitable land tenure and sustainable development in Indonesia.

Linkages between Legal Systems

It is difficult to comprehend land rights in Indonesia due to the prevalence of multiple legal systems, such as state law, Islamic law, and customary law ([Masum & Prihatinah, 2023](#)). There could be conflict between these legal frameworks because of their intersections ([Ardhana &](#)

[Puspitasari, 2023](#)). To fully comprehend the potential and challenges these intersections provide, it is imperative to comprehend these interactions ([Thontowi et al., 2023](#)). These research studies offer an insightful viewpoint on how Indonesia's various legal systems interact ([Akbar et al., 2023](#); [Selly, 2023](#)). The papers shed light on the ramifications of these legal intersections and the intricacies of land rights. Through an analysis of the interplay between state law, Islamic law, and customary law, these studies shed light on the intricacies and possible points of contention surrounding land rights in Indonesia.

Access to Justice and Marginalized Communities

Justice accessibility is essential to guaranteeing equitable land rights in Indonesia. Numerous studies have looked into the difficulties marginalized communities experience in obtaining legal counsel and standing out for their land rights ([Fahmi et al., 2023](#); [Juniar et al., 2022](#)). These works highlight the power dynamics present in legal proceedings as well as the necessity of addressing problems with resource distribution and legal literacy ([Firnanda & Romadhon, 2022](#)). Barriers to access can be removed by giving law enforcement frequent training, making infrastructure accessible, using technology for communication, and providing services and reasonable accommodations ([Ramadhan et al., 2023](#)). Furthermore, reestablishing land conflict laws in accordance with Pancasila justice can help achieve justice and the welfare of the community ([Amirsyah et al., 2023](#)). The Indonesian legal system is based on the ideas of democracy and limited government power, and society plays a critical role in maintaining law and order. To effectively enforce the law and encourage fair competition, government agencies, the judiciary, and the business community must work together.

Gaps in the Existing Literature

There is a noticeable deficiency in thorough document analysis that methodically looks at legal texts, judicial decisions, and policy papers, even though the body of current literature offers insightful information on a variety of topics related to land rights in Indonesia. A more complete understanding of the intricacies and weaknesses in Indonesia's land rights framework, however, would come from a full document analysis that takes into account law texts, judicial rulings, and policy papers ([Alindra, 2020](#); [Aristha, 2023](#); [Masum & Prihatinah, 2023](#); [Prasetyo, 2023](#); [Suartining & Djaja, 2023](#)). By applying a document analysis approach, which provides a more thorough grasp of the nuances of the property law system, our research seeks to close these gaps.

Theoretical Framework

Legal pluralism, which acknowledges the coexistence of several legal systems and their impact on land rights, serves as the theoretical basis for this study ([Fatoni et al., 2023](#)). A knowledge of legal diversity serves as a foundation for investigating the ways in which state law, Islamic law, and customary law interact to shape property rights in Indonesia ([Krisnantoro, 2023](#)).

METHOD

This study employs a qualitative research design with a particular emphasis on document analysis. A thorough grasp of land rights in Indonesia can be obtained by examining legal texts, court rulings, and policy documents in-depth using qualitative approaches, which are well suited for delving into the nuances of the legal system.

Data Collection

The primary sources of information used in this study are:

- a. Legal texts include constitutions, statutes, rules, and legal commentary that are pertinent to Indonesian property law and land rights.
- b. Court Decisions: An examination of notable land rights-related court cases, with a focus on crucial legal precedents and interpretations.
- c. Policy papers: An analysis of the laws, policies, and associated papers that influence land reform and other legal matters.
- d. Historical Records: Examining old records to understand how Indonesian property law developed.

Selection Criteria

The following standards will be used to make sure the documents gathered are representative and relevant:

- a. Pertinence to Investigative Goals: Documents ought to provide a direct contribution to examining the development of property law, comprehending the effects of legislation, and pointing out holes in the body of law.
- b. Diversity: To portray the diversity of land rights in Indonesia, documents from various historical eras, geographical areas, and land tenure systems are included.

Sampling

There are many different land tenure systems in Indonesia, hence a purposive sample approach will be employed. With this approach, documents are chosen depending on how well they reflect various legal settings and how pertinent they are to the study's goals. Regions, historical eras, and land tenure types (private, communal, and state-owned) will all be included in the sample.

Data Storage

Every document collected will be digitally converted and stored in a secure and well-structured database. The digital platform makes it easy to retrieve information quickly and organize it systematically, making it possible to obtain documents for examination. It will then be analyzed with Nvivo.

Data Analysis

- a. The process of analysis will entail delving deeply into the coded data to examine the relationships between historical, legal, and policy factors. The results will be cross-checked

with other records to find patterns, inconsistencies, and changes in land rights and laws over time.

- b. Triangulation will be utilized in order to strengthen the validity of the results. Cross-referencing document analysis with opinions from community members, legal professionals, and other stakeholders may be necessary for this. The validation procedure will also involve expert input and peer assessment.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Historical Evolution of Property Law

Document analysis has uncovered a complex story about the historical development of property law in Indonesia, one that has been influenced by both post-independence reforms and colonial legacies. This part offers a thorough analysis of the research findings in light of the development of property law over time. The Dutch colonial era left a lasting legacy on property law in Indonesia, with legal precepts that shaped modern land tenure systems remaining in place. Understandings of property rights are still shaped by these concepts, which frequently favored European interests, especially when it comes to the ownership and access difficulties that the report also mentions ([Dewi, 2023](#)). Another significant topic of recent research is the colonial mindset, which saw land as a valuable economic resource and which is still present in Indonesia's contemporary land tenure system ([Wirasaputra, 2023](#)). The impact of capitalism, which has its origins in colonial Indonesia, has made it more difficult for the populace to achieve economic welfare and has given rise to the dominance of big businesses in a variety of industries, including retail, plantations, and investment ([Fadhli et al., 2023](#)). Overlapping permits and administrative actions that violate laws, rules, and good governance principles give rise to land rights disputes, particularly in the mining and plantation industries ([Halim, 2023](#)). It is unclear how much property law is still shaped by historical legacies in light of this colonial influence. Disparities in land ownership and access, particularly among indigenous peoples and smallholders, may be exacerbated by the continued application of some legal doctrines.

A significant post-independence reform, the Basic Agrarian Law of 1960 sought to distribute land equitably, empower local people, and address historical injustices. Although these objectives are stated in the legislative documents, analysis reveals that there are a number of obstacles to overcome in order to really carry them out. The intricacy of land redistribution, opposing economic interests, and bureaucratic obstacles all work against attaining the intended results.

This interpretation emphasizes how crucial it is to look at both the efficacy of implementation methods and the legislative aim. The evolution of property law underwent significant changes after independence, and comprehending the mechanics of these reforms' execution is essential to comprehending the problems facing land rights today.

The conception of land rights underwent a paradigm shift in Indonesia during the country's transition from colonial authority to independence, as efforts were made to rectify historical injustices through legislative reform. This change is a reflection of society's growing awareness of the need of local community empowerment and fair land distribution. Translating these ideas into

workable solutions, however, is difficult because it necessitates a sophisticated understanding of how legal reform has created and continues to develop property law in Indonesia in order to balance historical context with modern land rights concerns. Land rights have been shaped by the application of regional autonomy policies, which have resulted in a change from vertical to horizontal accountability and the optimization of regional resources. In general, the development of land rights in Indonesia is a result of the intricate interactions between legislative change, historical background, and local community empowerment.

Impact of Laws and Regulations on Communities

According to the report, a number of legislative measures, especially those pertaining to rural areas, have been put in place to safeguard communal land rights. Mechanisms to acknowledge collective ownership, defend customary lands, and maintain customary land use practices are a few examples of these measures. Ensuring local communities maintain control over their land and empowering them are the goals of these regulations. The favorable effect on community land rights indicates that the significance of collective ownership and customary practices is acknowledged in the legal framework. It demonstrates an understanding of the land's cultural significance to rural and indigenous groups.

In Indonesia, large-scale development initiatives that are frequently made possible by government policies seriously jeopardize community land rights, especially for smallholders and indigenous groups. These projects, like the South Kalimantan case study that has been analyzed by research, may result in land grabs, raising questions about social equality and possible exploitation in the name of economic advancement ([Pamungkas & Mursadin, 2023](#)). The disproportionate impact of these land grabs on indigenous groups and smallholders, who may be displaced and suffer a serious threat from loss of livelihood, is another worry raised by the impact of these land grabs on vulnerable communities, as research has shown ([Andani et al., 2022](#)). Analysis shows that commercial interests and the pursuit of development goals might overcome protective laws, such as the principle of respect for legal land rights, placing land rights at risk ([Sandy & Inayati, 2022](#)). The potential for exploitation and the intrusion of commercial interests underscore the necessity of more robust safeguards and actions to guarantee the preservation of community land rights in Indonesia ([Noviati & Syaban, 2022](#)).

This view emphasizes the need for legislative frameworks to strike a balance between the protection of people's rights and the objectives of economic development. The results emphasize the necessity of safeguarding vulnerable populations from exploitative practices and making sure that economic advancement does not come at the price of them.

This analysis highlights the significance of legal literacy and access to justice as a critical feature. Even with progressive laws designed to safeguard communal land rights in Indonesia, minority people nevertheless encounter difficulties when attempting to navigate the legal system. This approach emphasizes the significance of legal literacy and access to justice. Notwithstanding progressive laws intended to safeguard their land rights, marginalized populations in Indonesia encounter difficulties when navigating the legal system. Insecure land tenure is exacerbated by this lack of access to justice, which leaves vulnerable groups without effective means of retaliating

against possible violations. Increasing access to justice and improving legal literacy initiatives are essential steps toward enabling communities to assert their land rights.

Identification of Gaps and Inconsistencies: Interpretation of Results

The legal framework governing land rights in Indonesia has many gaps and contradictions that are brought to light by the document analysis. The uniform implementation of property law in Indonesia is hindered by the significant gaps and discrepancies in the legislative framework controlling land rights. It is challenging to interpret and apply the law efficiently when there are inconsistencies between various legal texts and ambiguities in legislative provisions, which is also in line with studies ([Masum & Prihatinah, 2023](#); [Suartining & Djaja, 2023](#)). These contradictions impair the efficacy of the legal system and may give rise to legal conflicts. This issue is made worse by the use of contradictory language and varying interpretations. To provide a more consistent and dependable legal framework for land rights in Indonesia, it is essential to rectify these gaps and inconsistencies. The existence of discrepancies emphasizes how important it is to thoroughly examine and harmonize legal documents. For legal provisions to be effective in achieving equitable results in practice as well as being strong on paper, they must be consistent and clear.

Legal professionals, decision-makers, and impacted populations may get confused as a result of ambiguities in legal language that give rise to a variety of interpretations. This may affect how Indonesian laws pertaining to land are implemented. It's critical that legal writings employ clear, concise wording to solve this problem. To ensure that laws are clear and understandable to all parties involved, thorough drafting and frequent reviews are necessary. The possibility of contradictory interpretations and legal confusion can be decreased by developing an understandable legal framework, which has been a problem in earlier research ([Castiglione et al., 2023](#); [Irrynta & Prasetyoningsih, 2023](#)).

The existence of overlapping jurisdictions, where many legal bodies can have equal control over matters pertaining to property, is a significant gap that has been observed. According to the analysis, this overlap complicates Indonesia's legal system and raises the possibility of jurisdictional disputes and difficulties upholding property rights consistently.

According to the report, Indonesia's overlapping jurisdictions complicate the country's legal system and raise the possibility of jurisdictional conflicts and difficulties upholding property rights. In accordance with ([Sundari et al., n.d.](#)), the effects of jurisdictional disputes between Indonesia's State Administrative Courts and District Courts on individuals seeking justice are investigated, and the potential use of the "joint-proceeding" idea as a remedy is investigated. A recurring issue in protected area administration is the lack of cooperation amongst many parties; interactive governance is suggested as a viable remedy; this also connects to study ([Santosa, 2022](#)). In order to ensure justice, particularly in situations when natural catastrophes cause shifting land boundaries, it is important to reach comprehensive settlements that are acceptable to litigants, as demonstrated by the findings of this study and earlier research on land in Indonesia ([Amirsyah et al., 2023](#)). In Indonesia, there is still uncertainty over the legal standing of continuously flooded land in coastal areas, which causes conflicts throughout the land acquisition process and unfair recompense for previous proprietors. It is imperative for the advancement of Indonesian law that the significant diversity of legal systems in the country be acknowledged and supported.

It takes a concerted effort to streamline legal authority and define tasks in order to address overlapping jurisdictions. The goal of legal reform should be to provide a unified framework that reduces ambiguity and guarantees a uniform approach to Indonesian land rights governance. This can be accomplished by taking into account a variety of methods to handle overlaps, such as different strategies depending on commonality and case management considerations. Furthermore, treaty-based strategies like subject matter carve-outs and fork-in-the-road clauses can allow decision-makers greater power and direction when handling overlapping circumstances like the ones that are being discussed (Shany, 2006). It is significant to remember that the phenomenon of overlapping disputes may not be significantly impacted by conventional judicial approaches to overlap, such as *res judicata*. In order to handle overlapping authorities, softer treaty provisions that allow decision-makers more latitude and direction are therefore more promising.

Land rights adjudication is complicated by the historical heritage of contradictory state and customary rules in Indonesia, which often leads to uncertainty and conflicts about land ownership. These difficulties are made worse by the continued use of colonial-era legal frameworks and how they interact with modern legal systems. When creating legal reforms, addressing these problems calls for a nuanced strategy that takes the historical context into account. Developing a legal system that acknowledges and corrects historical injustices in addition to responding to present difficulties requires an understanding of and attention to historical legacies.

Linkages between Legal Systems

The coexistence of various legal systems governing property rights in Indonesia is highlighted by the document analysis. In rural areas, customary law, which has its roots in adat traditions, frequently shapes land use. State law and Islamic law interact, especially when it comes to inheritance and property division. According to some, this coexistence is a reflection of Indonesia's great cultural diversity, since the country's legal systems have developed in tandem with historical, cultural, and religious influences.

Understanding the innate complexity of property rights requires an awareness of this coexistence of legal systems. The heterogeneous nature of Indonesian society is reflected in the range of legal sources, underscoring the necessity of a legal framework that respects and allows for this diversity.

The coexistence of many legal systems is evidence of Indonesia's diverse cultural heritage, however this examination highlights the difficulties brought about by legal pluralism. Conflicting norms, interpretations, and enforcement methods between Islamic law, state law, and customary law create uncertainty and disagreements, which are a problem for Indonesia's legal pluralism. There must be procedures in place to settle disputes in order to guarantee the peaceful coexistence of legal traditions. To solve the problems caused by overlapping legal systems and advance a more equitable and cogent view of property rights, sophisticated legal changes are required. Transcendental law, which is based on spiritual principles, is thought to be a means of establishing a more equitable and compassionate legal framework in Indonesia. Legal philosophy plays a critical role in guiding the law toward democracy by balancing the interests of justice and expediency with the real demands of society. Law schools in Indonesia should make socio-legal understanding courses essential in order to give legal scholars with the knowledge of extralegal matters that affect the legal and normative order that they need to combat legal pluralism.

Property rights in Indonesia are affected by both state law and customary law, particularly with regard to security of tenure. The interplay among different legal frameworks may give rise to inconsistencies or deficiencies that may impact the implementation of property rights. Important components of Indonesia's land law system include the acknowledgement of customary land rights and the idea that land serves social purposes. However, problems like illicit land ownership and obstacles faced by foreign nationals in the ownership of land draw attention to the necessity for the Indonesian legal system to have clear norms and legal clarity. To ensure that property rights are properly enforced in Indonesia and to spot any gaps or conflicts, it is essential to comprehend how various legal systems interact.

Property rights considerations call for a comprehensive response. The goal of legal reform should be to minimize future conflicts while integrating the best aspects of each legal system. This could entail enhancing conflict resolution procedures, maintaining uniformity in legal interpretation, and making the hierarchy of legal standards clearer.

The significance of cultural sensitivity in legal reform is emphasized by this analysis. For communities, customary laws frequently hold great cultural and historical significance. The interpretation emphasizes how crucial it is to include communities in legal decision-making processes and to respect their cultural norms. To guarantee that legal reforms are in line with the goals and values of various communities, they should be put into effect with a greater knowledge of the cultural environment.

Law experts, community leaders, and legislators must work together to strike a balance between legal reform and cultural sensitivity. This interpretation promotes a participative approach that takes into account the viewpoints of individuals who are directly impacted by legislative changes, so empowering and promoting a sense of cultural ownership.

Implications for Vulnerable Communities

Documented instances of land grabbing that disproportionately affect Indonesia's most vulnerable people are shown by this investigation. In Indonesia, extensive development initiatives made possible by official policy have resulted in documented instances of land grabbing, which disproportionately impact marginalized populations. Smallholders and indigenous groups have been uprooted as a result, which has exacerbated social inequality and possibly led to long-term economic suffering for the impacted populations [1]. The need to lessen adverse effects on these communities and advance equitable land allocation highlights how urgent it is to address this issue. In order to improve farmers' subjective wellbeing (SWB), it is imperative that basic necessities, such agricultural land tenure, be given first priority [2]. The government can attempt to lessen socioeconomic inequalities and encourage sustainable development in Indonesia by combating land grabbing and making sure that land is distributed fairly. The ramifications for marginalized populations emphasize the necessity of robust legal protections against capricious land acquisition. The goal of legal reforms should be to establish procedures that give disadvantaged groups' rights first priority and guarantee their meaningful involvement in land use and development decision-making processes.

The results draw attention to the uneven power relations in the legal system, which disproportionately impact Indonesia's most vulnerable groups. These populations' inability to properly navigate the legal system is further hampered by their limited access to resources and legal advice. Legal empowerment programs, such as those that promote underprivileged populations and provide legal literacy, are crucial in addressing this power imbalance and ensuring fair participation. Fostering a fairer legal landscape for vulnerable groups requires acknowledging socioeconomic differences and modifying legal remedies to accommodate them.

Vulnerable communities in Indonesia are particularly threatened by commercial interests intruding on their property; legal frameworks are necessary to strike a balance between the goals of economic growth and the preservation of community rights. Policies that unintentionally aid in the exploitation of vulnerable communities need to be reevaluated in order to remedy this issue. The goal of policy proposals should be to establish a regulatory framework that stops commercial interests from having an excessive amount of influence over communal lands. This entails weighing the social and economic effects of development initiatives and minimizing adverse effects on marginalized populations.

The significance of community involvement in legal decision-making processes is emphasized by this approach. In Indonesia, laws and policies that are created after consulting with impacted communities are typically more responsive, inclusive, and culturally aware. According to this view, a participatory approach is encouraged, enabling marginalized communities to express their concerns and guaranteeing that local needs, values, and goals are taken into account when making legal choices. In order to promote a feeling of agency and ownership among disadvantaged communities, law reform should aggressively seek their participation through community consultations. This interpretation highlights how different viewpoints can be used to modify legal frameworks that affect disadvantaged people.

Theoretical Implications

This document's study offers a thorough understanding of the intricacies surrounding property rights in Indonesia, supporting and expanding upon pre-existing theoretical frameworks, most notably the idea of legal pluralism. Property rights are shaped by the coexistence and interaction of multiple legal systems in Indonesia, such as state law, Islamic law, and customary law. This highlights the intricate web of legal impact. This support for legal pluralism highlights the necessity for a sophisticated legal framework that takes into account the various cultural practices of a diverse society and has wider implications for the study of property rights in multicultural and different cultures. Theoretically, legal pluralism provides a conceptual lens through which to view the dynamic and linked structure of Indonesia's legal landscape, shedding light on the opportunities and challenges posed by overlapping legal traditions.

The investigation shows that there is a complicated and dynamic link between the formal and informal legal systems. Land rights are impacted by state law as well as customary and Islamic law in both rural and urban areas. Understanding land rights and creating a thorough legal framework require an awareness of the existence and impact of informal legal systems. The interplay between official and informal legal standards can help develop a more inclusive strategy that honors

community customs and cultural diversity. The results highlight how crucial it is to take into account how complicated legal systems are and how they affect property rights.

An important analytical tool for understanding the nuances of property rights in Indonesia is legal pluralism. Legal pluralism acknowledges the coexistence of diverse legal traditions and offers a framework for comprehending the complexities and inconsistencies present in the legal system. According to this interpretation, using legal pluralism as a tool for analysis will improve the capacity to sort through the intricate relationships between different legal systems. Legal pluralism has theoretical value that extends beyond Indonesia to other areas facing comparable difficulties with cultural variety and historical influences. As a result, legal pluralism need to be viewed as a flexible and dynamic analytical instrument for researching property rights in many settings.

The theoretical ramifications highlight how crucial it is to include legal plurality in conversations regarding legal change. The results imply that in order to develop a more flexible and inclusive framework for property rights, law reform should actively interact with the various legal systems in addition to acknowledging their coexistence. This interpretation encourages a theoretical move toward frameworks for the law that acknowledge, honor, and include other legal traditions.

The theoretical ramifications highlight the necessity of moving beyond a particular legal perspective and call for a paradigm change in the approach to law reform. The foundation for developing legal frameworks that are both sensitive to the intricacies of property rights and representative of the diverse cultures they oversee is laid by the incorporation of legal pluralism into theoretical concerns.

Comparative Analysis

Historical Transitions and Contemporary Challenges

Comparative study shows that property rights issues are frequently shared by nations that have undergone comparable historical transitions, which are defined by colonial legacies and post-independence reforms. Property rights are a common concern for nations that have undergone similar historical changes, marked by colonial legacies and post-independence reforms. This implies that historical shifts have an impact on how legal systems develop and how complex property rights are in various countries ([Stellacci & Eloy, 2023](#)). Recognizing patterns in historical trends offers important insights into practical approaches to property rights management in the face of changing circumstances ([Peyerl et al., 2023](#)). This analogy emphasizes how crucial it is to handle property rights in a context-appropriate manner ([Timothy & Wieckowski, 2022](#)). While historical shifts provide comparable difficulties, the distinct political and sociocultural environments of every nation necessitate tailored legal solutions that take regional differences into consideration ([Conticelli, 2022](#)).

A theoretical framework for interpreting property rights in Indonesia known as legal pluralism is present in many societies worldwide in addition to Indonesia. Comparative study indicates that dealing with the intricacies of property rights in the face of legal pluralism is a common difficulty that calls for creative solutions ([Masum & Prihatinah, 2023](#); [Situmeang et al., 2023](#)). This paradigm helps to provide a more nuanced understanding of legal pluralism as a flexible and dynamic

analytical tool by providing a universal lens through which to view property rights in multicultural and multi-law regimes ([Prameswari et al., 2023](#)). By using comparative analysis, one can identify successful tactics used by nations dealing with comparable property rights issues, like emphasizing community involvement, introducing legal pluralism into legislative frameworks, and redressing historical injustices ([Anjany & Fadilawati, 2023](#)). These observations highlight the importance of cross-cultural learning and the sharing of best practices, and they can guide the creation of more fair and responsive property rights frameworks ([Wijaya & Kusnadi, 2023](#)). In order to prioritize the rights of vulnerable urban populations, smallholders, and indigenous peoples, as well as to address the vulnerability of communities affected by land rights issues, collaborative efforts and international engagement are required.

This paper takes a close look at the complexity of land rights in Indonesia. It looks at how land rights have changed over time, how laws affect communities, and where the legal system is weak or inconsistent. It also takes into account how the judicial system intersects with vulnerable communities and what it means for them. The study promotes an adaptive legal framework that gives vulnerable populations' rights top priority while navigating the interdependence of legal systems and acknowledging historical legacies. A theoretical paradigm called legal pluralism is put out to handle the complexities of property law in many civilizations. The study highlights the necessity of having a global conversation on property rights and how successful policy initiatives can be informed by lessons learned from other countries. This study intends to address the intricacies of Indonesian property law, with the goal of advancing scholarly conversation and providing practical policy recommendations. According to studies ([Masum & Prihatinah, 2023](#); [Runtunuwu & Tjahyadi, 2023](#)), additional research, policy modification, and community involvement are required for a more inclusive and equitable approach to land rights in Indonesia.

Policy Recommendations

Drawing from the findings and discourse, this study endorses multiple policy suggestions:

- a. Harmonization of the Legal Framework: To guarantee uniformity in the application of property laws, efforts should be made to reconcile incoherent legal provisions.
- b. Community Empowerment: In order to guarantee that marginalized populations can successfully traverse the legal system, policies should place a high priority on providing legal literacy programs to these communities.
- c. Participatory Law Making: To guarantee that the legal framework is inclusive, impacted groups should actively participate in the development of land-related policies.
- d. Adaptability and Innovation: The legal system need to be flexible enough to change with the times to accommodate evolving socioeconomic and environmental circumstances. To meet new difficulties, legal approaches must be innovative.
- e. Recommendations for policies offer legislators, government organizations, and advocacy groups practical insights. A multifaceted strategy involving legal reform, community empowerment, and creative policy solutions is needed to address the concerns raised. The goal of these suggestions is to develop a more flexible and inclusive legal framework for Indonesian land rights.

Future Research Directions

- a. Future research directions have a solid foundation thanks to the document analysis. Additional investigation may delve into the actualized realities of impacted communities by land legislation, utilizing qualitative interviews and anthropological techniques. Studying other nations that are dealing with comparable issues could help us better understand what kinds of policy initiatives work. Furthermore, longitudinal analysis helps monitor how land regulations are implemented and changed over time.
- b. Further studies on the social and economic effects of land laws on impacted communities are warranted. Qualitative research can help us comprehend the difficulties that people and groups encounter in defending their land rights on a deeper level. Over time, longitudinal analysis will help develop a dynamic picture of how well policy changes work.

CONCLUSION

It summarises property rights in Indonesia and provides a thorough understanding of the historical context, legal implications, theoretical underpinnings, and international parallels. Property law has evolved historically, influenced by post-independence reforms and colonial legacies. The influence of laws on society, gaps in the legal system, and the relationship between different legal systems highlight the opportunities and problems associated with land governance. The theoretical consequences support legal pluralism as an important framework and highlight the demand for flexible legal remedies. To inform equitable policies, the report emphasises the importance of addressing vulnerabilities in society and calls for global collaboration and participatory change. Comparative analyses add an international perspective to the discussion and advance the global conversation on property rights. In order to promote more egalitarian, inclusive, and responsive approaches to land rights in Indonesia and elsewhere, this research concludes by laying the groundwork for future investigation, policy adaptation, and transformative interventions. In order to address concerns and create a more flexible and inclusive legal framework for Indonesian land rights, a multifaceted strategy that provides useful insights for legislators, government organizations, and advocacy groups, includes efforts to ensure uniformity in the application of property laws, empower marginalized populations through legal literacy programs, promote inclusive participation in land-related policy development, and foster adaptability and innovation in the legal system.

REFERENCE

- Akbar, M. P., Syamsuddin, S., & Jiwantara, F. A. (2023). ANALISIS POLA PEMBINAAN TERHADAP ANAK DI BAWAH UMUR PELAKU TINDAK PIDANA BERBASIS HUKUM TRANSENDENTAL. *SENTRI: Jurnal Riset Ilmiah*, 2(6), 2346–2355.
- Alindra, R. (2020). *Implikasi Tindak Pidana Terhadap Terbitnya Sertipikat Hak Guna Bangunan Di Atas Tanah Bekas Hak Eigendom Verponding Yang dikuasai Orang Lain*. UNIVERSITAS AIRLANGGA.

- Amirsyah, A., Marniati, F. S., & Basuki, B. (2023). PERLINDUNGAN HUKUM BAGI PARA PIHAK YANG DIRUGIKAN DALAM BIDANG PERTANAHAN AKIBAT PERISTIWA BENCANA ALAM TERKAIT BERGESERNYA BATAS TANAH. *JURNAL ILMIAH GLOBAL EDUCATION*, 4(1), 1–11.
- Andani, A., Irham, I., Jamhari, J., & Suryantini, A. (2022). Multifaceted Social and Environmental Disruptions Impact on Smallholder Plantations' Resilience in Indonesia. *The Scientific World Journal*, 2022.
- Anjany, A., & Fadilawati, F. (2023). Analisis Hukum terhadap Pelaksanaan Sanksi Adat Seda'pada Kasus Kawin Lari. *Amsir Law Journal*, 4(2), 120–125.
- Ardhana, I. K., & Puspitasari, N. W. R. N. (2023). Adat Law, Ethics, and Human Rights in Modern Indonesia. *Religions*, 14(4), 443.
- Aristha, E. M. (2023). REGULATION OF REGISTRATION OF TRANSFER OF RIGHTS TO LAND DUE TO INSTRUCTION IN THE PERSPECTIVE OF PERMEN ATR/KBPN NUMBER 16 YEAR 2021. *NOTARIAL Jurnal Kenotariatan*, 8(1), 15–20.
- Budiarto, M. (2009). *Your land is my land: exploring land policy in Tangerang, Indonesia using Kingdon's Multiple Streams Model*. Louisiana State University and Agricultural & Mechanical College.
- Cahyono, S. A., Agus, C., Raharjo, S. A. S., Nugroho, A. W., & Indrajaya, Y. (2023). Strategic tropical natural resources management and household socio-economic resilience due to the Covid-19 pandemic. In *Agricultural Bioeconomy* (pp. 167–182). Elsevier.
- Castiglione, G., Bella, G., & Santamaria, D. F. (2023). Towards Grammatical Tagging for the Legal Language of Cybersecurity. *Proceedings of the 18th International Conference on Availability, Reliability and Security*, 1–9.
- Conticelli, M. (2022). Property Rights, Administrative Limits and Procedural Requirements in the EU, the ECHR and the IIL. In *Procedural Requirements in Administrative Limits to property rights* (pp. 305–315). Oxford University Press.
- Darmayanti, D., Ruslan, R., & Sahputra, R. (2023). Criminal Acts Against The Law Of Corruption (Case Study Medan District Court No. 45/Pid. Sus-TPK/2021/Fr. Mdn jo Medan High Court case No. 33/Pid. Sus-TPK/2021/PT. Mdn). *International Asia Of Law and Money Laundering (IAML)*, 2(2), 63–78.
- Dewi, L. K. (2023). LEGAL PROTECTION OF THE SALE AND PURCHASE OF LAND RIGHTS THAT HAVE NOT BEEN REGISTERED. *International Journal of Educational Review, Law And Social Sciences (IJERLAS)*, 3(5), 1451–1459.
- Fadhli, A., Zurwanti, R. H., & Sari, V. P. (2023). Konstruksi Pasal 33 UUD 1945 dalam Menangkal Pengaruh Sistem Kapitalisme di Indonesia. *Journal of Civic Education*, 6(1), 48–58.
- Fahmi, R., Wahyuningsih, S. E., & Kusriyah, S. (2023). Legal Reconstruction of Land Dispute Regulation in Indonesia Based on Pancasila Justice. *Scholars International Journal of Law, Crime and Justice*, 6, 134–140.
- Fatoni, M. Y., Sulistiyono, A., & Karjoko, L. (2023). The Comparative Study About Intellectual Property Rights And The Transfer Of Land Rights For The Development Of Indonesia Land Law. *Unram Law Review*, 7(1).

- Firnanda, A., & Romadhon, M. Z. (2022). Pengaruh People Power dalam Peradilan di Indonesia. *Verfassung: Jurnal Hukum Tata Negara*, 1(2), 159–180.
- Gates, R. (2009). Striking the Right Balance. *Joint Force Quarterly*, 52, 2–7.
- Halim, A. (2023). Legal Construction of Dispute Resolution Mining Land Overlapping with Plantation Land. *Indonesian Journal of Multidisciplinary Science*, 2(8), 3035–3049.
- Hamler, H., & Mirwati, Y. (2022). the Influence of Globalization on Land Ownership in Indonesia in Terms of Perspective Sociology of Law. *PENA LAW: International Journal of Law*, 1(2), 63–70.
- Hill, H., & Pasaribu, D. H. (2022). Avoiding the Resource Curse. *Journal of Southeast Asian Economies*, 39(3), 225–250.
- Hubbansyah, A. K., Hakim, D. B., & Hartoyo, S. (2023). Three Decades of Structural Transformation of the Indonesian Economy: Has the Turning Point Been Reached? *International Journal of Advanced Research in Economics and Finance*, 5(2), 145–155.
- Humaidi, M. W., & Rahmadanti, I. S. (2023). Constitutional Design of State Policy as Guidelines on Indonesia's Presidential System Development Plan. *Volksgeist: Jurnal Ilmu Hukum Dan Konstitusi*, 61–76.
- Irrynta, D., & Prasetyoningsih, N. (2023). An Analysis of Freedom of Speech: Whether the Indonesian Electronic Information and Transactions Law is Contradictory. *SASI*, 29(2), 200–213.
- Juniar, M. W., Annisa, A. N., Yuniza, N., & Dahsyat, A. (2022). THE ISSUES OF IMPLEMENTING THE RIGHT ACCESS TO JUSTICE FOR PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES. *Awang Long Law Review*.
- Krismantoro, D. (2023). The Legal Position of Customary Land in National Land Law After the Enactment of ATR/BPN Ministerial Regulation Number 18 of 2019. *Edunity: Social and Educational Studies*, 2(4), 484–493.
- Lee, Z. Y. (2022). Implementation of agrarian reform in North Sumatra, Indonesia: The productiveness of institutional fragmentation. *Environment and Planning C: Politics and Space*, 40(7), 1589–1605.
- Masum, A., & Prihatinah, T. (2023). The Responsibility of Notary in Making Nominee Agreements for Foreign Citizens in Indonesia. *Problems of Legality*, 161, 287–303.
- Noviati, N., & Syaban, A. R. (2022). An Analysis Of Employee Knowledge With A Safety Behavior Approach In Kendari Ocean Fisheries Industrial Area: Knowledge With A Safety Behavior. *INDONESIAN JOURNAL OF HEALTH SCIENCES RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT (IJHSRD)*, 4(2), 82–86.
- Pamungkas, S. W., & Mursadin, A. (2023). *Analysis of the Impact of Erroneous Land Measurement Results on Construction Project Implementation (Study Case of the Integrated Sports Area Development for South Kalimantan Province)*.
- Peyerl, D., Relva, S. G., & Silva, V. O. da. (2023). Energy Transition: Changing the Brazilian Landscape Over Time. In *Energy Transition in Brazil* (pp. 1–15). Springer.

- Pinuji, S., de Vries, W. T., Rineksi, T. W., & Wahyuni, W. (2023). Is Obliterated Land Still Land? Tenure Security and Climate Change in Indonesia. *Land*, 12(2), 478.
- Prameswari, Z. W. A. W., Agustin, E., & Felicia, S. A. (2023). A Review of Indonesian Nationality Law: Progress toward the Achievement of SDGs for Every Child. *JSEADR*, 7, 45.
- Prasetyo, H. B. (2023). PTSL Program in the Context of Conflict Prevention and Land Disputes. *YURISDIKSI: Jurnal Wacana Hukum Dan Sains*, 18(4), 511–527.
- Purnomo, V. D., & Suryono, K. E. (2022). The Collapse of the New Orde Regime Resulted in Changes in Indonesia's Economic Policy. *Jurnal Pengabdian Masyarakat Formosa*, 1(5), 395–406.
- Ramadhan, H. R., Paulus, D. H., & Marcello, G. (2023). Prohibition of Monopolistic Practices in Business Trials in Indonesia. *Journal of Law and Legal Reform*, 4(2).
- Runtunuwu, Y. B., & Tjahyadi, I. (2023). Promoting Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights: Challenges and Opportunities in International Human Rights Law. *The Easta Journal Law and Human Rights*, 1(03), 158–165.
- Sa'adiyyah, A. M. (2023). TINJAUAN YURIDIS PENGADAAN TANAH BAGI PEMBANGUNAN UNTUK KEPENTINGAN UMUM DALAM MEWUJUDKAN NEGARA KESEJAHTERAAN BERDASARKAN UNDANG-UNDANG NOMOR 2 TAHUN 2012. *Pro Patria: Jurnal Pendidikan, Kewarganegaraan, Hukum, Sosial, Dan Politik*, 6(1), 102–118.
- Sandy, N. T., & Inayati, I. (2022). Transfer of Land and Building Taxes in the Plantation and Forestry Sector in Indonesia in a SWOT Analysis Review. *Jurnal Public Policy*, 8(3), 168–176.
- Santosa, E. B. (2022). Interactive governance framework and its potential for governing protected area landscape. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 976(1), 12017.
- Selly, G. (2023). INTEGRASI SYARIAH DALAM PERATURAN DAERAH INDONESIA: DIALEKTIKA FILSAFAT HUKUM ISLAM PROFETIK DAN PARADIGMA THOMAS KUHN: Sharia Integration in Indonesian Regional Regulations: Dialectics of Prophetic Islamic Law Philosophy and Thomas Kuhn's Paradigm. *Constitution Journal*, 2(1), 1–16.
- Shany, Y. (2006). Jurisdictional competition between national and international courts: could international jurisdiction-regulating rules apply? *Netherlands Yearbook of International Law*, 37, 3–56.
- Situmeang, A., Silviani, N. Z., & Tan, D. (2023). The Solving Indonesian Intellectual Property Rights Transfer Issue. *Al-Risalah: Forum Kajian Hukum Dan Sosial Kemasyarakatan*, 23(1), 59–74.
- Stellacci, S., & Eloy, S. (2023). Digital pathways for enriched communities and futures: plantation heritage in São Tomé and Príncipe. In *Digital Approaches to Inclusion and Participation in Cultural Heritage* (pp. 27–51). Routledge.
- Suartining, N. K., & Djaja, B. (2023). Land Rights in the Land Law System in Indonesia According to the Basic Agrarian Law Number 5 of 1960. *Journal of Social Research*, 2(6), 1775–1785.
- Sundari, E., Halim, H. C., Christy, M. A., & Widiartana, G. (n.d.). Jurisdiction Conflict between Indonesian District Courts and State Administrative Courts: The Possibility Using 'Joint-

- Proceeding'Concept for Resolution. *Journal of Social Science and Education Research Studies*, 2(11), 669–680.
- Syahril, S. N., & Redi, A. (2023). The Single Candidate in the 2020 Regional Head Election is Viewed From the Perspective of Democratic Principles Adopted by the Indonesian State. *Journal of Social Research*, 2(7), 2188–2205.
- Thontowi, J., Ady, W. S., Rahmawati, N. D., & Ali, M. (2023). Prophetic Law and Social Engineering: The Interaction between Religious Legal System and Customary Local System in Respect to Maintain and Promote Religious Values in Indonesian National Legal System. *International Journal of Social Science, Education, Communication and Economics (SINOMICS JOURNAL)*, 1(6), 761–778.
- Timothy, D. J., & Więckowski, M. (2022). Borders, heritage, and memory. In *Routledge Handbook of Borders and Tourism* (pp. 219–240). Routledge.
- Watumlawar, J., & Gaol, H. S. L. (2023). Redefinisi Frasa Kepentingan Umum Atas Pengelolaan Tanah Masyarakat Adat Berdasarkan Pendekatan Konsep Welfare State. *DiH: Jurnal Ilmu Hukum*, 19(1), 39–52.
- Wijaya, A. U., & Kusnadi, S. A. (2023). Pancasila Philosophical Values as the Regulation Basis of Intellectual Property Rights In Indonesia. *KnE Social Sciences*, 490–498.
- Wirasaputra, B. (2023). Making Inheritance Certificate Studied from the Philosophy of Pancasila. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Analysis*, 06(06), 2822–2828. <https://doi.org/10.47191/ijmra/v6-i6-83>
- Wisesa, A. R., & Salam, R. (2023). Analysis of New Autonomous Regional Policies in Indonesia. *INFLUENCE: INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENCE REVIEW*, 5(2), 297–314.
- Yanto, H. R. (2022). Analisis Kebijakan Agraria Pasca-Reformasi. *Solusi*, 20(3), 434–453. <https://doi.org/10.36546/solusi.v20i3.701>
- Yudha, S. W. (2023). Relative Abundance in the Land of Oil: Reorganization of Extractive Resource Governance in Decentralizing Indonesia. *Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences Studies*, 5(4), 8–18.