SOMA POPULATION OF THE POPULAT

Ilomata International Journal of Management

P-ISSN: 2714-8971; E-ISSN: 2714-8963 Volume 5, Issue 4, October 2024

Page No: 1302-1317

Review of Empirical Research on Transformational Leadership and Organizational Performance of Local Government

Ferry Siswadhi¹, Tri Widyastuti², Ni Nyoman Sawitri³, Fitrina Afrianti⁴

¹²³University of Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya, Indonesia

⁴Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Sakti Alam Kerinci, Indonesia

Correspondent: <u>fsiswadhi@gmail.com</u>¹

Received : June 21, 2024
Accepted : August 22, 2024
Published : October 31, 2024

Citation: Siswadhi, F., Widyastuti, T., Sawitri, N, N., Afrianti, F. (2024). Review of Empirical Research on Transformational Leadership and Organizational Performance of Local Government. Ilomata International Journal of Management, 5(4), 1302-1317. https://doi.org/10.61194/iijm.v5i4.1348

ABSTRACT: This review aims to present a systematic review of empirical research on transformational leadership (TL) and local government performance (LGP) to synthesize the fragmented knowledge and propose a unifying framework for future research. This research adopts a systematic literature review methodology. A total of 22 articles were reviewed after being filtered from 59 articles found through an exhaustive search of online databases: Scopus, Emerald Insight, Science Direct, JSTOR, Taylor and Francis, and Google Scholar. Inclusion criteria were peer-reviewed empirical studies published in English from 2012 to 2024. Exclusion criteria were articles that did not provide empirical results on the relationship between TL and LGP and were published older than 2012. A matrix table was developed to extract and organize information from the articles. The findings revealed three main vital themes. First, general organizational performance is the background most studied in the TL-LGP. Second, almost all studies use quantitative methods to analyze the data collected. Third, in general, the empirical results reported the influence of TL on LGP. A lot of background context still needs to be studied, and qualitative and mixed-method methods will be challenging for future research.

Keywords: Transformational, Leadership, Organizational, Performance, Government



This is an open access article under the CC-BY 4.0 license

INTRODUCTION

Almost all countries have local governments in their structure. Still, there are many differences in the names, forms, and structures of local governments in countries worldwide, i.e., villages, municipalities, special authorities, counties, public-private partnerships, joint administrations, historic districts, and multiple others (Agranoff, 2014). Despite this, they share similarities in the responsibilities to manage and deliver vital public services (Walker & Andrews, 2015). Local governments are responsible for serving the various needs of their communities (Fazlagić & Szczepankiewicz, 2020), developing their community (Agboeze et al., 2021), driving sustainable development (Salvador & Sancho, 2021), protecting and maintaining the environment (Myung & Lee, 2017), and various other responsibilities related to the social economy of the community. Considering the vital role of local government, its performance must be a severe concern and pursued continuously.

Siswadhi, Widyastuti, Sawitri, and Afrianti

The performance of the local government is assessed based on the input, output, and outcome of the services it provides to the community, where the input is related to the means mobilized by the local government, the output is characterized by adaptation to the quantity and quality of services provided by the local government to the community and the outcome is characterized primarily by efforts to achieve public interests, social justice, and regulation of economic activities (Maurel et al., 2014). Local government performance (LGP) measurement has various qualities, such as transparency and accountability, efficiency, effectivity, and program success (Bernstein, 2001). Many previous studies have assessed and reported LGP on a specific topic, for example, health (Wang & Tao, 2019), sustainable village development (Sabet & Khaksar, 2024), facing crises (Narbón-Perpiñá et al., 2019), financial management (Zamzami & Rakhman, 2023), and environmental management (Panya et al., 2018). In all forms of LGP, various determinants influence it; leadership style is essential (Cepiku & Mastrodascio, 2020).

Leaders are the main driving factor for organizations to achieve expected performance. Researchers have widely studied various leadership styles for organizational performance, but transformational leadership (TL) is the most commonly used approach to improve organizational performance (Ngoc Khuong et al., 2022). The concept of TL comes from Burns (1978), introduced by Downton (1973), as leadership that motivates behavior by changing the attitudes and assumptions of their followers, distinguishing it from reward-based and transactional leadership. TL transforms followers' needs, values, and preferences from self-interest goals to collective goals. They tend to involve followers in commitment to these goals, willingness to make personal sacrifices for the sake of the collective goal, and ultimately going above and beyond the call of duty (Lai et al., 2020). TLs influence individuals' perception of organizational goals as essential and attainable (Steinmann et al., 2018). A Deng et al. (2023) meta-analysis concluded that TL affects various individual aspects, such as motivation, commitment, creativity, and job satisfaction, ultimately improving organizational performance. Therefore, TL is widely viewed as the most effective leadership style to improve organizational performance, especially in the context of rapid environmental change (Cao & Le, 2024).

Abels (1996) has long promoted TL in local government to overcome various problems related to the demands of changing times. The role of TL in local government is related to three main elements of effective policymaking: power, structure, and process. Local government leaders must be experts in effectively controlling or directing these three elements, forcing them to leave the traditional managerial/supervisory role to move into the role of community mobilizer and policy facilitator (Abels, 1996). Several studies have examined TL in local government at both individual and organizational levels. At the individual level, TL has been shown to have a positive effect on self-efficacy and well-being (Nielsen & Munir, 2009), employee innovation (Bak et al., 2022; Khan & Khan, 2019), employee performance (Caillier, 2014; Sismiati et al., 2022), employee commitment (Ennis et al., 2018; Kim, 2014), and job satisfaction (Mickson et al., 2021). At the organizational level, TL has been shown to have a positive effect on organizational learning and knowledge sharing (Khan & Khan, 2019), transparency and citizen engagement (Chatfield & Brajawidagda, 2013), procedural justice and organizational affective commitment (Kim & Kim, 2015), public trust (Yang et al., 2023), organizational innovation (Chen et al., 2016).

Siswadhi, Widyastuti, Sawitri, and Afrianti

Literature reviews on TL have been conducted by researchers in various contexts, such as education (Alainati et al., 2023; Anderson, 2017; Saad Alessa, 2021), health (Ystaas et al., 2023), employee performance (Mahmud et al., 2023), job satisfaction (Putra, 2024), and employee creativity (Bashir & Nazir, 2024). Although there have been many studies on TL in the context of local government, a literature review has yet to be found. Comprehensive and unified knowledge of the results of these studies has yet to be understood. This study seeks to provide a review of previous research by asking the following research questions:

RQ1: What was the background and method of the investigation on the relationship between transformational leadership and the performance of local government organization?

RQ2: How the relationship between transformational leadership and the performance of local government organization?

METHOD

This study's objective is to comprehensively evaluate the current literature on the correlation between TL and LGP. This review will be conducted methodically, transparently, replicable, and scientifically. To accomplish this objective, we adhered to the principles outlined in a systematic literature study on leadership and management (Elkhwesky et al., 2022; Greige Frangieh & Khayr Yaacoub, 2017). We adhered to the literature review methodology proposed by vom Brocke et al. (2009) to conduct a thorough and impartial exploration of pertinent empirical research on the relationship between TL and LGP. According to a recent study conducted by Dreyer et al. (2019) and Manfredi Latilla et al. (2018), the criteria proposed by vom Brocke et al. (2009) provide researchers with a means to guarantee the pertinence, excellence, and methodological soundness of their research.

The steps we took in this literature study were:

- 1. In the first step, we define the scope of the review. Inclusion criteria were empirical studies of transformational leadership and local government performance that were peer-reviewed and published in English from 2012 to 2024. Exclusion criteria were articles that did not provide empirical results on the relationship between TL and LGP and were published older than 2012.
- 2. The second step was to identify keywords. We formulated the search string based on the research question. There are three keywords: "transformational leadership," "local government," and "performance." We added an asterisk at the end of each word to anticipate an 'exact search.' The three keywords were connected with the boolean operators AND to produce the following search string: ("transformational* leadership*") AND ("local* government*") AND ("performance*").
- 3. The third step was a literature search conducted online on available databases. To perform computerized searches for relevant publications, we relied on several large databases: Scopus,

Emerald Insight, Science Direct, JSTOR, Taylor and Francis, and Google Scholar. The preliminary search yielded 59 papers that were deemed potentially relevant.

4. The fourth step was verification of eligibility. We conducted a thorough examination of titles and abstracts to exclude any instances of duplication, literature review articles, and papers that were to subjects unrelated to the topic being investigated. Following this filtration process, a total of 30 articles stood. Subsequently, the primary content of the remaining papers was perused and assessed employing comparable predetermined criteria for inclusion and exclusion. After conducting a thorough text assessment, the number of documents was cut to 22.

The fifth step was analyzing and synthesizing the literature. For that purpose, a matrix table was constructed (Table 1). We analyzed each piece of literature by breaking down and identifying the necessary information motion. Then, we synthesized them by integrating them and identifying the conclusions that can be drawn from the articles as a group. The review's findings led to the proposal of a comprehensive framework that elucidates the correlation between TL and LGP.

Table 1. Literature Review Matrix Table

Authors	Local Government/ Country	Background	Methods	Respondent	TL-LGP Relationship
Adiwijaya et al. (2023)	Central Bureau of Statistics in Lampung, Indonesia	Organizational change	Quantitative/ SEM-PLS	138 employees	TL had direct effect on readiness to change and organizational commitment, and indirect effect on readiness to change through organizational commitment
Basri et al. (2022)	Villages in Riau province, Indonesia	Good governance	Quantitative/ SEM-PLS	328 village managers	TL had no effect on good governance
Dema et al. (2021)	Sub-district government, Indonesia	Good governance	Quantitative/ Descriptive	32 sub- district managers	TL is useful in creating good governance.
Omar Elmasry & Bakri (2019)	Government sector in Gaza strip, Palestine	Good governance	Quantitative/ SEM-PLS	342 general managers	All TL behaviours had direct effect on good governance except for inspirational motivation
Lumban Gaol & Tumanggor (2022)	Central and Local Government	Organizational change	Descriptive qualitative	Unknown numbers of informants	The role of TL in making changes has been carried out well

Siswadhi, Widyastuti, Sawitri, and Afrianti

Authors	Local Government/ Country	Background	Methods	Respondent	TL-LGP Relationship
Katywa & Strydom (2021)	agencies in Indonesia Metropolitan Municipality, South Africa	Service delivery efficiency	Quantitative/ Regression	83 employees	Only two of four TL dimensions had effect on efficient service delivery.
Kim & Kim (2015)	Local governments in South Korea	Organizational culture	Quantitative/ factor analysis	560 employees	TL has a significant positive effect on procedural justice (PJ) and organizational affective commitment (OAC)
Kim & Yoon (2015)	Seoul Metropolitan Government, South Korea	Organizational Innovation	Quantitative/ Regression	1576 employees	TL was a significant factor affecting employees' perceptions of the organizational culture of innovation
Maqdliyan & Setiawan (2023)	Regional Central Bureau of Statistics, in Indonesia	Organizational Innovation	Quantitative/ SEM-PLS	125 employees	TL had no effect on organizational innovation
Muchiri & Ayoko (2013)	Local government of Australia	Demographic diversity	Quantitative/ Regression	177 employees	TL moderated relationships between organisational tenure and organisational citizenship behaviours, organisational tenure and general productivity, women in work unit and organisational citizenship behaviours, and women in work unit and general productivity.

Siswadhi, Widyastuti, Sawitri, and Afrianti

Authors	Local Government/ Country	Background	Methods	Respondent	TL-LGP Relationship
Muchiri et al. (2012)	Local councils, Australia	General organizational performance	Quantitative/ hierarchical multiple regression	177 employees	TL predicted performance outcomes, collective efficacy/outcomes expectancies and organisational commitment
Munahar et al. (2023)	South Aceh District Government, Indonesia	General organizational performance	Quantitative/ SEM-AMOS	183 employees	TL affects government performance
Muterera (2013)	County governments in the United States	General organizational performance	Quantitative/ Regression	372 chief executives	TL behaviors have a significant impact or organizational performance
Nawangsari et al. (2015)	Local Government Units in East Java Province, Indonesia	General organizational performance	Quantitative/ GSCA	192 managers, 192 staff	TL had direct affects on government performance and transparency, and indirect affects on government performance through transparency
Ngabito et al. (2021)	Local Government Units in Gorontalo Province, Indonesia	General organizational performance	Quantitative/ SEM-PLS	63 managers	TL had direct affects on the performance of the apparatus, good governance has a positive and significant effect on transformational
Njiiri et al. (2021)	County governments in Kenya	Public participation	Quantitative/ Regression	296 citizens	leadership style TL style affects the public participation
Peter & Placido (2023)	in Kenya Local Government Unit in the Province of La Union, Philippines	Crisis management	Mixed method	20 municipal heads and 13 board members	TL who is technologically innovated had negative effects on crisis management

Siswadhi, Widyastuti, Sawitri, and Afrianti

Authors	Local Government/ Country	Background	Methods	Respondent	TL-LGP Relationship
Pratiwi et al. (2020)	Local government in West Java Province, Indonesia	Fraud prevention	Quantitative/ Regression	28 managers	TL style positively influences the prevention of fraud
Rahim et al. (2022)	Kendari City Local Government, Indonesia	Organizational Innovation	Quantitative/ SEM-PLS	296 employees	TL has direct and indirect effect through employee creativity on organizational innovation,
Sienkiewicz- Małyjurek (2022)	Local governments in Poland	Networks resilience	Quantitative/ SEM-PLS	199 senior employees	TL had direct effects on social capital dan resilience, TL had indirect effects on resilience through social capital
Tran et al. (2019)	Local governments in Vietnam	Organizational outcomes	Quantitative/ SEM-PLS	410 employees	TL positively impact successful outcomes and innovation-driven culture
Valero et al. (2015)	Local governments, South Korea	Organizational resilience	Quantitative/ Regression	112 employees	TL style has a positive and statistically significant effect on perceived organizational resiliency

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Most of the empirical studies on TL-LGP relationships come from Indonesia (n = 10), South Korea (n = 3), and Australia (n = 2). One article each from Palestine, South Africa, the United States, Kenya, the Philippines, Poland and Vietnam. The oldest is from 2012, and the newest is in 2023. The most published years were 2015, 2021, 2022, and 2023 (n = 4 each), 2013 and 2019 (n = 2), and the least was 2020 (n = 1). Meanwhile, the most widely adopted methodology is quantitative (n = 20), and the others are mixed methods (n = 1) and qualitative (n = 1). Of the studies that used quantitative methods, most carried out data analysis using SEM-PLS (n = 8) and regression (n = 7). The number of respondents varied, from the most petite 32 to the most

Siswadhi, Widyastuti, Sawitri, and Afrianti

significant 1576. The types of respondents also varied. Most were general local government employees (n = 12), several others were managers (n = 7) and members of the public (n = 1).

Empirical studies regarding the TL-LGP relationship have diverse backgrounds. The most common backgrounds were general organizational performance (n = 5), organizational innovation (n = 3), good governance (n = 3), and organizational change (n = 2). Other backgrounds are in the minority, namely crisis management, demographic diversity, fraud prevention, network resilience, organizational resilience, public participation, and service delivery efficiency. The results of most studies show a strong positive influence of TL on LGP in various qualifications. However, some find no influence of TL on LGP, namely Maqdliyan & Setiawan (2023), and that transformational leadership has a negative influence (Peter & Placido, 2023).

Several articles report empirical findings of the indirect influence of TL on LGP, namely through transparency (Nawangsari et al., 2015), social capital (Sienkiewicz-Malyjurek, 2022), employee creativity (Rahim et al., 2022), and commitment organization (Adiwijaya et al., 2023). Apart from that, some find the moderating role of TL, namely on the relationship between organizational tenure and organizational citizenship behaviours, organizational tenure and general productivity, women in work units and organizational citizenship behaviours, and women in work units and general productivity (Peter & Placido, 2023).

Organizational performance, in general, is the most widely studied background in the TL-LGP relationship because the general performance of local governments covers many things that are of concern to the community and stakeholders, such as the efficiency, results, and effectiveness of government activities in social and economic management, as well as the management and services provided by the government in the process of carrying out its functions and implementing its will (Yong & Lijun, 2011). Meanwhile, TL is seen as being able to help improve organizational performance in an environment that is constantly changing and full of uncertainty (Kılıç & Uludağ, 2021). However, the assessment of organizational performance in general targets parameters that are too broad, so the results only provide a general picture of local government performance. Sometimes, government performance is not the same for each assessment parameter. Therefore, a more focused study will provide a more detailed understanding of the problem.

One focus of TL studies on local government that has received much attention is the influence of TL on organizational change and innovation. Transformational leaders will encourage their followers to behave innovatively and creatively, thereby creating changes for the better of the organization (Jun & Lee, 2023). Likewise, in the context of local government, several literatures link TL with innovative and creative employee behavior, thus impacting change for local government. However, there are inconsistent results between Rahim et al. (2022), who found that transformational leadership significantly affected local government innovation. Maqdliyan and Setiawan (2023) found that transformational leadership had no significant effect on local government innovation. However, it was proven that transformational leadership affected local government readiness to change (Adiwijaya et al., 2023).

Organizational change is vital for all organizations. Change is a procedure organizations use to direct their performance to achieve ideal conditions. Organizational change occurs as a result of a

Siswadhi, Widyastuti, Sawitri, and Afrianti

changing environment, a reaction to an ongoing crisis, or is triggered by a leader (<u>Ameti, 2020</u>). The context of organizational change and innovation appears to be related to organizational performance, organizational resilience, fraud prevention, and crisis management. It shows that TL is relied on to improve organizational performance, build organizational resilience, and lead organizations to face crises and prevent fraud. However, every change has internal and external consequences, sometimes positive and sometimes negative (<u>Furxhi, 2021</u>). Therefore, TL must be able to face these challenges, especially the negative consequences of the changes being made.

Public participation is one challenging but rarely studied topic concerning TL and local government. Only one article was found to examine this topic, namely Njiiri et al. (2021). TL is tasked with moving members of the organization to make fundamental changes in the foundation and basis of the organization so that they are ready and have the necessary capabilities to move in a new direction and achieve a higher ideal peak performance (Korejan & Shahbazi, 2016). In the context of local government, these changes should be community-based, meaning that the local government is tasked with encouraging public participation (Jun & Bryer, 2017). This finding implies the need for more in-depth studies on the relationship between TL and the task of local government to mobilize public participation in various contexts of activities.

Almost all studies use quantitative methods to analyze the data collected. Quantitative methods provide fast, accurate, reliable, and objective results based on statistical calculations (Rana et al., 2021). However, quantitative methods are unsuitable for researching complex structures involving deep and fundamental issues. However, it is necessary to use qualitative methods because they are suitable for understanding people's beliefs, experiences, attitudes, behaviors, and interactions (Pathak et al., 2013). Leadership is related to all these attributes (Gächter & Renner, 2018). Unfortunately, very few studies on the TL-LGP relationship use qualitative or mixed methods.

In general, the empirical results reported by the collected articles are the influence of TL on LGP. This finding is in line with the results of research in a more general organizational context (<u>García-Morales et al., 2012; Katou, 2015; Kılıç & Uludağ, 2021</u>). However, some articles reported no effect of TL on LGP (<u>Maqdliyan & Setiawan, 2023</u>) or a negative effect of TL on LGP (<u>Peter & Placido, 2023</u>). Different results from other studies generally open up gaps for future research to confirm these results.

CONCLUSION

A total of 22 articles have reported empirical results on the relationship between TL and LGP in various settings and countries. The most widely studied context is general organizational performance. Some have studied the relationship between TL and local government change and innovation. However, a few studies have studied the relationship between TL and local government tasks to encourage public participation in various activity contexts. It implies that more in-depth studies on TL in local government to encourage public participation in government and other activities are needed. Most articles use quantitative methods and find a positive effect of TL on LGP. Many background contexts still need to be studied so that they can be suggestions for further research. Comprehensive and in-depth findings are minimal, so qualitative and mixed

methods will be challenging. Further research can also start from gaps in previous research results. Other literature studies may be needed to understand other leadership styles in the context of local government to get a broader and more diverse picture of leadership models and their effects on LGP.

REFERENCE

- Abels, M. (1996). The Local Government Manager as a Transformational Leader. *Journal of Leadership Studies*, 3(3), 96–109. https://doi.org/10.1177/107179199700300310
- Adiwijaya, S., Ribhan, & Hayati, K. (2023). The Effect of Transformational Leadership on Readiness for Change Mediated by Organizational Commitment. *Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting*, 23(15), 69–80. https://doi.org/10.9734/ajeba/2023/v23i151017
- Agboeze, M. U., Eze, G. C., Nweke, P. O., Igwe, N. J., Imo, O. C., Okop, E. O., Okengwu, M. C., Agboeze, M. N., Okeke, P. M. D., & Otu, M. S. (2021). Role of Local Government in Community Development Projects in Nsukka Local Government Area of Enugu State, Nigeria: Implication for Adult Educators. *SAGE Open*, 11(2), 215824402110266. https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440211026634
- Agranoff, R. (2014). Local Governments in Multilevel Systems. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 44(4_suppl), 47S-62S. https://doi.org/10.1177/0275074013497629
- Ameti, T. (2020). ORGANIZATIONAL CHANGE IMPORTANCE AND EFFECTIVE MANAGEMENT OF CHANGE. Trends in Economics, Finance, and Management Journal, 2(2), 59–68.
- Bak, H., Jin, M. H., & McDonald, B. D. (2022). Unpacking the Transformational Leadership-Innovative Work Behavior Relationship: The Mediating Role of Psychological Capital. *Public Performance & Management Review*, 45(1), 80–105. https://doi.org/10.1080/15309576.2021.1939737
- Basri, Y. M., Yasni, H., & Indrawati, N. (2022). Transformational Leadership, Organizational Commitment, Role Of Legislature In Implementation Of Good Governance And Its Impact On Village Government Performance. *Journal of Positive School Psychology*, 6(10), 1517–1530. https://doi.org/10.33312/ijar.517
- Bernstein, D. J. (2001). Local government measurement use to focus on performance and results. Evaluation and Program Planning, 24(1), 95–101. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0149-7189(00)00050-1
- Caillier, J. G. (2014). Toward a Better Understanding of the Relationship Between Transformational Leadership, Public Service Motivation, Mission Valence, and Employee

- Performance. *Public Personnel Management*, 43(2), 218–239. https://doi.org/10.1177/0091026014528478
- Cao, T. T., & Le, P. B. (2024). Impacts of transformational leadership on organizational change capability: a two-path mediating role of trust in leadership. *European Journal of Management and Business Economics*, 33(2), 157–173. https://doi.org/10.1108/EJMBE-06-2021-0180
- Cepiku, D., & Mastrodascio, M. (2020). Leadership and performance in intermunicipal networks. *Journal of Public Budgeting, Accounting & Financial Management*, 32(2), 177–196. https://doi.org/10.1108/JPBAFM-02-2019-0019
- Chatfield, A. T., & Brajawidagda, U. (2013). Political Will and Strategic Use of YouTube to Advancing Government Transparency: An Analysis of Jakarta Government-Generated YouTube Videos (pp. 26–37). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-40358-3_3
- Chen, L., Zheng, W., Yang, B., & Bai, S. (2016). Transformational leadership, social capital and organizational innovation. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, *37*(7), 843–859. https://doi.org/10.1108/LODJ-07-2015-0157
- Dema, H., Rusdi, A. F., Yasin, A., Hamid, H., & Abriyanti, N. (2021). Transformational Leadership in Creating Good District Government. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 717(1), 012032. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/717/1/012032
- Deng, C., Gulseren, D., Isola, C., Grocutt, K., & Turner, N. (2023). Transformational leadership effectiveness: an evidence-based primer. *Human Resource Development International*, 26(5), 627–641. https://doi.org/10.1080/13678868.2022.2135938
- Downton, J. V. (1973). Rebel leadership: Commitment and charisma in the revolutionary process. Free Press.
- Dreyer, S., Olivotti, D., Lebek, B., & Breitner, M. H. (2019). Focusing the customer through smart services: a literature review. *Electronic Markets*, 29(1), 55–78. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12525-019-00328-z
- Elkhwesky, Z., Salem, I. E., Ramkissoon, H., & Castañeda-García, J.-A. (2022). A systematic and critical review of leadership styles in contemporary hospitality: a roadmap and a call for future research. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, *34*(5), 1925–1958. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCHM-09-2021-1128
- Ennis, M. C., Gong, T., & Okpozo, A. Z. (2018). Examining the Mediating Roles of Affective and Normative Commitment in the Relationship between Transformational Leadership Practices and Turnover Intention of Government Employees. *International Journal of Public Administration*, 41(3), 203–215. https://doi.org/10.1080/01900692.2016.1256894
- Fazlagić, J., & Szczepankiewicz, E. I. (2020). The Role of Local Governments in Supporting Creative Industries—A Conceptual Model. *Sustainability*, 12(1), 438. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12010438

- Furxhi, G. (2021). Employee's Resistance and Organizational Change Factors. European Journal of Business and Management Research, 6(2), 30–32. https://doi.org/10.24018/ejbmr.2021.6.2.759
- Gächter, S., & Renner, E. (2018). Leaders as role models and 'belief managers' in social dilemmas. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 154, 321–334. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2018.08.001
- García-Morales, V. J., Jiménez-Barrionuevo, M. M., & Gutiérrez-Gutiérrez, L. (2012). Transformational leadership influence on organizational performance through organizational learning and innovation. *Journal of Business Research*, 65(7), 1040–1050. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2011.03.005
- Greige Frangieh, C., & Khayr Yaacoub, H. (2017). A systematic literature review of responsible leadership. *Journal of Global Responsibility*, 8(2), 281–299. https://doi.org/10.1108/JGR-01-2017-0004
- Jun, K., & Lee, J. (2023). Transformational Leadership and Followers' Innovative Behavior: Roles of Commitment to Change and Organizational Support for Creativity. *Behavioral Sciences*, 13(4), 320. https://doi.org/10.3390/bs13040320
- Jun, K.-N., & Bryer, T. (2017). Facilitating Public Participation in Local Governments in Hard Times. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 47(7), 840–856. https://doi.org/10.1177/0275074016643587
- Katou, A. A. (2015). Transformational leadership and organisational performance. *Employee Relations*, 37(3), 329–353. https://doi.org/10.1108/ER-05-2014-0056
- Katywa, Z., & Strydom, K. (2021). Transformational Leadership as a Catalyst for Efficient Service Delivery in the Buffalo City Metropolitan Municipality. *Journal of Public Administration*, 56(2), 213–232.
- Khan, N. A., & Khan, A. N. (2019). What followers are saying about transformational leaders fostering employee innovation via organisational learning, knowledge sharing and social media use in public organisations? *Government Information Quarterly*, *36*(4), 101391. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2019.07.003
- Kim, H. (2014). Transformational Leadership, Organizational Clan Culture, Organizational Affective Commitment, and Organizational Citizenship Behavior: A Case of South Korea's Public Sector. *Public Organization Review*, 14(3), 397–417. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11115-013-0225-z
- Kim, H., & Kim, J. (2015). A cross-level study of transformational leadership and organizational affective commitment in the Korean Local Governments: Mediating role of procedural justice and moderating role of culture types based on competing values framework. *Leadership*, 11(2), 158–185. https://doi.org/10.1177/1742715013514880

- Kim, S., & Yoon, G. (2015). An Innovation-Driven Culture in Local Government. *Public Personnel Management*, 44(2), 147–168. https://doi.org/10.1177/0091026014568896
- Kılıç, M., & Uludağ, O. (2021). The Effects of Transformational Leadership on Organizational Performance: Testing the Mediating Effects of Knowledge Management. *Sustainability*, 13(14), 7981. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13147981
- Lai, F.-Y., Tang, H.-C., Lu, S.-C., Lee, Y.-C., & Lin, C.-C. (2020). Transformational Leadership and Job Performance: The Mediating Role of Work Engagement. *SAGE Open*, 10(1), 215824401989908. https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244019899085
- Lumban Gaol, P., & Tumanggor, B. F. (2022). The Role of Transformational Leaders in Implementing Change: A Case Study of Bureaucratic Simplification in the Central and Regional Governments of Indonesia. *KnE Social Sciences*. https://doi.org/10.18502/kss.v7i9.11012
- Manfredi Latilla, V., Frattini, F., Messeni Petruzzelli, A., & Berner, M. (2018). Knowledge management, knowledge transfer and organizational performance in the arts and crafts industry: a literature review. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 22(6), 1310–1331. https://doi.org/10.1108/JKM-08-2017-0367
- Maqdliyan, R., & Setiawan, D. (2023). Antecedents and consequences of public sector organizational innovation. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, 9(2), 100042. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joitmc.2023.100042
- Maurel, C., Carassus, D., Favoreu, C., & Gardey, D. (2014). Characterization and definition of public performance: an application to local government authorities. *Gestion et Management Public, Volume 2/n°3*(1), 23–44. https://doi.org/10.3917/gmp.023.0023
- Mickson, M. K., Anlesinya, A., & Malcalm, E. (2021). Mediation role of diversity climate on leadership and job satisfaction in the Ghanaian public sector. *World Journal of Entrepreneurship, Management and Sustainable Development*, 17(2), 167–188. https://doi.org/10.1108/WJEMSD-10-2019-0080
- Moradi Korejan, M., & Shahbazi, H. (2016). An analysis of the transformational leadership theory. *Journal of Fundamental and Applied Sciences*, 8(3), 452. https://doi.org/10.4314/jfas.v8i3s.192
- Muchiri, M. K., & Ayoko, O. B. (2013). Linking demographic diversity to organisational outcomes. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 34(5), 384–406. https://doi.org/10.1108/LODJ-11-0086
- Muchiri, M. K., Cooksey, R. W., & Walumbwa, F. O. (2012). Transformational and social processes of leadership as predictors of organisational outcomes. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 33(7), 662–683. https://doi.org/10.1108/01437731211265241

- Munahar, Musnadi, S., & Kesuma, T. M. (2023). The Effect of Transformational Leadership and Good Government Governance on ASN Work Motivation and Its Impact on Government Performance of South Aceh District. *International Journal of Scientific and Management Research*, 06(04), 131–156. https://doi.org/10.37502/IJSMR.2023.6410
- Muterera, J. (2013). Leadership Behaviors and Their Impact on Organizational Performance in Governmental Entities. *International Journal of Sustainable Development*, 3(8), 19–24.
- Myung, H.-N., & Lee, H.-Y. (2017). Role of local government in responding to environmental health challenges: a case study of Chungnam. *Environmental Health and Toxicology*, *32*, e2017023. https://doi.org/10.5620/eht.e2017023
- Narbón-Perpiñá, I., Balaguer-Coll, M., & Tortosa-Ausina, E. (2019). Evaluating local government performance in times of crisis. *Local Government Studies*, 45(1), 64–100. https://doi.org/10.1080/03003930.2018.1507908
- Nawangsari, L. C., Sudarma, M., Aisjah, S., & Djumahir. (2015). The Role of Transformational Leadership, Monitoring and Transparency on Performance (Study on Cities/ Regencies Government Units (SKPD) at Bakorwil IV East Java Province- Indonesia). *European Journal of Business and Management*, 7(5), 61–78.
- Ngabito, F. M., Aneta, A., Tahir, A., & Abdussamad, J. (2021). The Influence Of Good Governance Andtransformational Leadership Styles On The Performance Of Local Governments. *Turkish Journal of Computer and Mathematics Education*, 12(3), 4365–4375.
- Ngoc Khuong, M., Thanh Tung, D., & Hoang Quoc, T. (2022). Review of Empirical Research on Leadership and Firm Performance. *SAGE Open*, *12*(3), 215824402211095. https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440221109588
- Nielsen, K., & Munir, F. (2009). How do transformational leaders influence followers' affective well-being? Exploring the mediating role of self-efficacy. *Work & Stress*, 23(4), 313–329. https://doi.org/10.1080/02678370903385106
- Njiiri, P. K., Were, Dr. S., & Muturi, Prof. W. (2021). Transformational Leadership Style and Public Participation in the County Governments in Kenya. *Global Journal of Management and Business Research*, 21–33. https://doi.org/10.34257/GJMBRAVOL21IS2PG21
- Omar Elmasry, M., & Bakri, N. (2019). Behaviors of Transformational Leadership in Promoting Good Governance at the Palestinian Public Sector. *International Journal of Organizational Leadership*, 8(1), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.33844/ijol.2019.60265
- Panya, N., Poboon, C., Phoochinda, W., & Teungfung, R. (2018). The performance of the environmental management of local governments in Thailand. *Kasetsart Journal of Social Sciences*, 39(1), 33–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.kjss.2017.03.001

- Pathak, V., Jena, B., & Kalra, S. (2013). Qualitative research. *Perspectives in Clinical Research*, 4(3), 192. https://doi.org/10.4103/2229-3485.115389
- Peter, P. M. G., & Placido, D. M. (2023). The Effects of a Transformational Leadership Style and Technological Innovation on Crisis Management. *International Journal of Arts and Humanities Studies*, 3(1), 35–42. https://doi.org/10.32996/Ijahs.2023.3.1.5
- Pratiwi, K. I., Rosdini, D., & Fitriyah, F. K. (2020). Influence of Transformational Leadership Style, Internal Control System, and Government Internal Auditor (APIP) Capability Level on Fraud Prevention. *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change*, 10(11), 705–726.
- Rahim, S., Sarita, B., Samdin, & Mahrani, S. (2022). The Influence Of Transformational Leadership On Organizational Innovation: Mediated By Employee Creativity. *Journal of Positive School Psychology*, 6(8), 969–980.
- Rana, J., Gutierrez, P. L., & Oldroyd, J. C. (2021). Quantitative Methods. In *Global Encyclopedia of Public Administration, Public Policy, and Governance* (pp. 1–6). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-31816-5_460-1
- Sabet, N. S., & Khaksar, S. (2024). The performance of local government, social capital and participation of villagers in sustainable rural development. *The Social Science Journal*, 61(1), 1–29. https://doi.org/10.1080/03623319.2020.1782649
- Sienkiewicz-Małyjurek, K. (2022). Social Capital and Transformational Leadership in Building the Resilience of Local Governance Networks. *Sustainability*, 14(8), 4720. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14084720
- Sismiati, S., Sulaiman, S., & Mochamad, Y. (2022). Transformational Leadership and Organizational Commitments to Performance of State Civilians in the Local Government of East Luwu District. *Quantitative Economics and Management Studies*, 3(1), 77–83. https://doi.org/10.35877/454RI.qems856
- Steinmann, B., Klug, H. J. P., & Maier, G. W. (2018). The Path Is the Goal: How Transformational Leaders Enhance Followers' Job Attitudes and Proactive Behavior. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *9*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.02338
- Tran, K. T., Nguyen, P. V., Huynh, T. N., & Nguyen, T. V. (2019). Determinants of successful public organisational outcomes: a case of a Vietnamese local government. *J. for Global Business Advancement*, 12(5), 604. https://doi.org/10.1504/JGBA.2019.107511
- Valero, J. N., Jung, K., & Andrew, S. A. (2015). Does transformational leadership build resilient public and nonprofit organizations? *Disaster Prevention and Management*, 24(1), 4–20. https://doi.org/10.1108/DPM-04-2014-0060

- vom Brocke, J., Simons, A., Niehaves, B., & Riemer, K. (2009). Reconstructing the Giant: On the Importance of Rigour in Documenting the Literature Search Process. 17th European Conference on Information Systems (ECIS), 2206–2217.
- Walker, R. M., & Andrews, R. (2015). Local Government Management and Performance: A Review of Evidence. Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory, 25(1), 101-133. https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/mut038
- Wang, M., & Tao, C. (2019). Research on the Efficiency of Local Government Health Expenditure China and Its Spatial Spillover Effect. Sustainability, 11(9), 2469. https://doi.org/10.3390/su11092469
- Yang, H. M., Tong, K. K., Chen, J. H., Yu, E. W., & Wu, A. M. S. (2023). Growing amidst the COVID-19 pandemic: The interplay among transformational leadership in government, public trust, and posttraumatic growth. Journal of Pacific Rim Psychology, 17, 183449092211462. https://doi.org/10.1177/18344909221146254
- Yong, H., & Lijun, C. (2011). The Evaluation of Local Government's Comprehensive Performance: A Case Study on the Cities of Guangdong Province (pp. 531-539). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-25002-6_74
- Zamzami, F., & Rakhman, F. (2023). Determinants of Local Government Financial Performance Indonesia. Academic Interdisciplinary Journal of Studies, 12(5),332. https://doi.org/10.36941/ajis-2023-0148